## **EFFECTIVE AND PROPORTIONATE DMA IMPLEMENTATION**

11 January 2023



## WELCOME



*by Bruno Liebhaberg CERRE Director General* 

# **OPENING KEYNOTE SPEECH**



Andreas Schwab Member of the European Parliament

# **OPENING KEYNOTE SPEECH**



Alberto Bacchiega Director, DG COMP, European Commission

## PANEL 1: DESIGNATION OF GATEEKEEPERS AND PROCEDURE

Richard Feasey, CERRE Senior Advisor and Giorgio Monti, CERRE Research Fellow



#### GATEKEEPER DESIGNATION

- Designation per gatekeeper or per CPS
- Symmetric evidential standards for the rebuttal of the quantitative presumption by platforms and the Commission
- End-users calculation

# **?**

### PROCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL DESIGN (1)

- **Oversight** and compliance tools
  - Effective **compliance report** 
    - Gatekeepers to demonstrate effectiveness
    - Compliance as an evolving task for gatekeepers/Commission
      - Adapt to changing market conditions
  - Governance of **regulatory dialogue**
- *Responsive* enforcement
  - Focus on **persuasion** fist, fines an instrument for unwilling compliance

#### PROCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL DESIGN (2)

#### Participatory enforcement

- At each stage, **third parties** should be able to comment (and have meaningful info to do that)
- Institutional design
  - National authorities: complaints and joint investigation teams
  - DMA **High-Level Group:** legal consistency across digital law instruments and case coordination

# **COFFEE/TEA BREAK**

### Next session starts at **16.00 CET**

## PANEL 2: SELF-PREFERENCING AND SWITCHING TOOLS

Martin Peitz, University of Mannheim and Alexandre de Streel, CERRE Academic Director



#### THE SELF-PREFERENCING PROHIBITION

#### Article 6(5)

The gatekeeper shall not treat more favourably, in ranking and related indexing and crawling, services and products offered by the gatekeeper itself than similar services or products of a third party. The gatekeeper shall apply transparent, fair and non-discriminatory conditions to such ranking and related indexing and crawling.



#### **RECOMMENDATIONS ON SELF-PREFERENCING**

- Do not to use this prohibition as carte blanche; do not engage in mechanistic enforcement.
- The application of Article 6(5) could be restricted to the design of rankings as a non-price strategy.
- The prohibition should apply on the end user *and* the business user side.
- It can be challenging to detect self-preferencing bias as opposed to legitimate differential treatment. Identify acts of true self-preferencing; i.e. such practices that are likely to be against market contestability and the long-term interests of consumers.
- Use guidance from economics to specify adequately, under Article 8 of the DMA, the self-preferencing prohibition.

# RECOMMENDATIONS ON SWITCHING TOOLS AND CHOICE SCREENS

- Legal clarifications on scope
  - Non-standards browsers such as search app and in-app browser
  - Other services than browser, VA and SA: within browser default
  - Non proprietary default
- Effective mechanisms
  - **Design and architecture** of switching tools
  - Reversibility possibility
  - At every first use of new device and at no charge
- Avoiding unintended consequences
  - Misleading third-party prompts and slamming
  - Excessive prompts and choice fatigue
  - Harming the **small platforms**

## PANEL 3: ACCESS TO DATA AND INTEROPERABILITY

*Marc Bourreau, CERRE Academic Co-Director Alexandre de Streel, CERRE Academic Director* 

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS ON INTEROPERABILITY**

- Legal clarifications needed
  - **Geographical scope:** EU or beyond?
  - Process to handle requests for vertical interoperability
- Effective mechanisms
  - Access and interface **managed by gatekeeper** under equivalence of input requirement when proportionate
  - Licence regime for access seekers
- Avoiding unintended consequences
  - Reducing investment and innovation with free vertical interoperability
  - Reducing **multihoming** with horizontal interoperability

#### cerre



#### **RECOMMENDATIONS ON DATA PORTABILITY**

#### Legal clarifications

- **Scope:** which data, in particular observed data, context, adversarial portability
- User **consent:** granularity, by business users
- Effective mechanisms
  - **Combining** portability with privacy, security and integrity
  - Open standards and protocols
  - Measurement of availability and **performance**
- Avoiding unintended consequences
  - Crowding out Personal Information Management Systems (PIMS)

# **?**

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS ON SEARCH DATA ACCESS**

- Legal clarifications
  - Material scope: data, scale, timeliness, beneficiaries
  - **Geographical scope:** EU or beyond
- Effective mechanisms
  - Combining contestability with privacy and security
    - **Technical:** K-anonymity, differential privacy, synthetic search logs
    - Institutional: trusted data intermediaries and data sandboxing
  - Negotiation framework to determine FRAND

## **CLOSING KEYNOTE SPEECH**



Rita Wezenbeek Director, DG CNECT, European Commission

# **THANK YOU!**

# To stay updated, sign up to the **CERRE newsletter:**



#### Improving network and digital industries regulation

Avenue Louise 475 (box 10) 1050 Brussels, Belgium +32 2 230 83 60 info@cerre.eu – www.cerre.eu y@CERRE\_ThinkTank in Centre on Regulation in Europe (CERRE) CERRE Think Tank

Download the report <u>https://bit.ly/xxxxx</u> For more information contact <u>info@cerre.eu</u>