



CONTENTS

1 OBJECTIVES OF THE REPORT

STRUCTURE OF THE EEAG: FOR A COMBINED APPROACH

THE ROLE OF THE EEAG

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

REVISION NEEDS AND AMBITIONS

6 SUMMARY



OBJECTIVES

- **Review process for the EEAG:** prolonged validity until end of 2021
- Scope of the review
 - possible and necessary areas of change
 - opportunities for improved State aid regime in the energy sector
- Contribution to fast-tracking the transition towards low carbon energy systems in a cost-efficient way, consistent with internal market principles.
- **EEAG** in the context of green economic recovery post-COVID-19: SA practice in relation to the pandemic, resilience.



THE ROLE OF THE EEAG

- Part of the compliance strategy with EU climate and energy targets
 - Fast-tracking decarbonisation
 - Make use of all technologies
 - Accommodating a stepwise approach
 - A holistic approach for the whole energy system
- Part of a legal ecosystem
 - Close link to GBER should be maintained
- An enabling framework
 - Different decarbonisation pathways
 - Principle of sovereignty over energy mix





REVISION NEEDS

- ► Target and objectives alignment: 2030/2050 climate & energy targets and goals
- Alignment with the Clean Energy Package: REDII, EE, Eldir
- Climate commitments, climate laws & climate litigation
- Fundamental changes in the energy sector:
 - Market fundamentals (production costs, electrification)
 - New actors & new activities
 - Products and services (storage, system adequacy, demand response, flexibility)
 - Type of support needed
 - Remaining market failures

Exhaustive list of specific aid measures in the Report

Underlying question: **scope of application** of the EEAG (coal phase-out, nuclear)

Recent case law of the CJUE: intensity of the judicial review; procedural rules; implementation. Ex: Tempus Case T/793/14 (on appeal).





STRUCTURE OF THE REVISED EEAG

- **3 identified alternatives:** objective-based; specific measures; combined
- For a «combined approach»: common objectives & specific measures
- Elements to be considered in the choice of the approach
 - General architecture of EU SA law (Enabling Regulation, GBER);
 - Margin of appreciation left to the MSs;
 - · Application of the proportionality test;
 - Flexibility in the dialogue between MSs and the Commission services;
 - Need for a level playing field between MSs;
 - Judicial review
- Argues for clear assessment criteria
- And an updated definition of the objectives of common interest. Ex: security of supply, generation adequacy





GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- 1 Reminder: common assessment principles
- Technology neutrality

Ex: support to renewables, particularly for electricity production

- 3 Eligible costs
 - Ex: energy infrastructures
- 4 Stability of the schemes: long-term visibility
 - Cf. recent case law, alignment on REDII





RECOMMENDATIONS

- Keep alignment with 2030 climate & energy targets and 2050 goals
- Methodology
 - combined approach between common objectives and specific aid measures
 - clear assessment criteria, guiding principles, revision of the objectives of common interest
- Revise material scope of application of the EEAG
- Pay attention to enforcement and judicial review

BEYOND THE REPORT

Duty of consistency

- Many parallel legislative and regulatory processes, with high level of details: "regulatory tsunami"
- Ensure "smart regulation" and comply with duty of consistency (Art. 7 TFEU Art. 13(1) TEU), notably across financing mechanisms

► EEAG part of the green economic recovery post-COVID-19

- Green or ecological requirements: Competence to set them? Need for a common frame?
- Resilience as assessment criterion
- Industrial policy: How far can the EEAG go in supporting the recovery of the European economy? Which safeguards are necessary?





A CERRE REPORT AUTHORED BY



Catherine Banet

Academic Research Fellow, CERRE
Associate Professor, University of Oslo,
Faculty of Law, Norway

